

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/846,795                               | 05/01/2001     | Jack H. Linn         | 87552.055101/SE-1472TD.A | 7145             |  |
| 7:                                       | 590 10/16/2002 |                      |                          |                  |  |
| JAECKLE FLEISCHMANN & MUGEL, LLP         |                |                      | EXAMI                    | EXAMINER         |  |
| 39 State Street Rochester, NY 14614-1310 |                |                      | CARKAR                   | CARVAR ACCUM     |  |
|  |                |                      | SARKAR, ASOK K           |                  |  |
|  |                |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |  |

2829 DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application No.  OB/86,795  LINN ET AL  Examiner  Act Unit  Act Unit  Acok K. Sarkar  ART Unit  Acok C. Sarkar  ART Unit  Acok K. Sarkar  ART Unit  Acok M. Sarkar  ART Unit  Acok K. Sarkar  ART Unit  Acok K. Sarkar  ART Unit  Acok K. Sarkar  ART Unit  Acok C. Sarkar  Acok C |   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner   |   | Application No.                    | Applicant(s)                   |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  The MAILING DATE of THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply separation was the state of the correct of the period for reply separation was the state of the communication. If the period for reply separation was the state of the communication and the state of the communication are set 3th; (b) WOMTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply separation was the state of the communication. If the period for reply separation was the state of the communication. If the period for reply separation was the state of the communication. If the period for reply separation was the state of the communication. As yearly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the communication. If the period for reply separation is condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ∑ Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-56 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5□ Claim(s)is/are allowed.  6 ∑ Claim(s)is/are objected to.  8 ∑ Claim(s)is/are objected to.  8 ∑ Claim(s)is/are objected to.  9 ∑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9 ∑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  10 ∑ The drawing(s) filed on QT May 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)  | Office Action Summany   |                                    | LINN ET AL.                    |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Enterplace of time may be evaluate under the precessor of 3 CPR 1.138(s). In ore event, however, may a risply be timely filled  the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (50) stays, a risply with the statisticy minimum of thinty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (50) stays, a risply with the statisticy minimum of thinty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (50) stays, a risply with the statisticy minimum of thinty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (50) stays, a risply with the statisticy minimum of thinty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (50) stays, a risply with the statisticy minimum of thinty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thinty (50) stays, a risply with the statisticy minimum of thinty (30) stays will be considered timely.  If the period for reply specified above, the manifest day of the communication.  If this action is FINAL  2b   This action is final and statistic manifest the manifest day of the communication.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-56 is/are pending in the application.  3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-56  | Oπice Action Summary  | Examiner                           | Art Unit                       |  |  |  |  |
| Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time rary be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time rary be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  Extensions of time rary be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statulatory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. The provision of the specified above, the maximum statulatory period will apply and will expire SIX (b) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed galestin term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)   | TI MANUNO DATE A Mile communication com   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of bern may be waitible under be provided or 3 of PCR 1.135(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fallow SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Fall  |   | ears on the cover sheet with the t | correspondence address         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 August 2002.  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-56 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s)  | A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)  This action is FINAL 2b  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-56 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-48 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  4pplication Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The proposed drawing of the drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  11)  The proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b)  Some * c)  None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).   | <u> </u>  | ugust 2002                         |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 3  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4  Sclaim(s) 24-44 and 46-56 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6  Sclaim(s) 24-44 and 46-48 is/are rejected.  7  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a) cacepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b Some * c) None of:  1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  **Attachment(s)**  10  Notice of References Cited (PTO-982)  21  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | <u> </u>  | <del>_</del>                       |                                |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s)  is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11)  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All b) Some * c) None of:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  **Attachment(s)**  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(s).    Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(s).   Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(s).   Interview Summany (PTO-413) Paper No(  |   |                                    | rosecution as to the merits is |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-56 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s)   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 49-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Olice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Olice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | •   | application                        |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  | / <del></del>   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) 24-44 and 46-48 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  6) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  2) Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | •   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 7  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a objected to by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a objected to by the Examiner.  Branch and the Examiner.  Application No  |   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 8  |   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   | election requirement.              |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | · — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   | , — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ,   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | , ,   | . have been made in a              |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |                                    | ion No                         |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | ,   |                                    |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  | Attachment(s)   | _                                  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Informal I            |                                |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/846,795 Page 2

Art Unit: 2829

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24 – 44 and 46 - 48 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

2. Claims 24 – 29, 31, 35 – 38, 40, 41 and 46 - 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Henley, US 6,083,324.

Regarding claims 24, 26, 28, 29, 36, 38, 40 and 46, Henley teaches a bonded SOI substrate comprising all the structural elements of these claims as follows:

- a wafer comprising a first layer of monocrystalline Si material adjacent first surface of the wafer with reference to Fig. 8;
- a planar intrinsic gettering layer 808 with reference to Fig. 8 within Si inherently containing silicon ions. Henley teaches gettering zone of substantially pure semiconductor material in column 3, lines 3 8;
- an insulating bond layer 809 with reference to Fig. 8;

Application/Control Number: 09/846,795 Page 3

Art Unit: 2829

a handle wafer 811 with reference to Fig. 8;

 semiconductor device 801 – 803 on the second layer with reference to Fig. 8 or the epitaxial layer 18 with reference to Fig. 1D.

These claims are product by process claims and are subject to the following:

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685; *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523; *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324; *In re Avery*, 186 USPQ 161; *In re Wertheim*, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); *In re Marosi et al*, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case laws make clear.

In the instant case, Henley teaches a semiconductor device, having planar gettering zone similar to that of the Applicant. The Henley's device is indistinguishable from that of the Applicant since the heating step in forming Henley's device will restore the damage caused by the implantation converting it to undamaged monocrystalline semiconductor material (see column 5, lines 4-14).

Regarding claims 25, 27, 37 Henley teaches device is a FET in column 8, line 33.

Regarding claims 31 and 41, Henley teaches handle wafer 414 with reference to Fig 4 of silicon and bonding layer of silicon dioxide (see column 6, lines 43 - 44).

Regarding claims 35, 47 and 48, Henley teaches two or more semiconductor devices 801 – 803, which are laterally isolated by regions 804 with reference to Fig. 8 in column 8, lines 31 – 48.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2829

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 30 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley, US 6,083,324 in view of Hori, US 5,731,637.

Henley fails to expressly teach implantation with Si.

Hori teaches gettering layers by implanting Si in column 3, lines 43 – 45.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Henley by forming gettering layer with Si implantation since the intrinsic gettering layers can be formed by implanting Si as taught by Hori.

5. Claims 32 - 34 and 42 - 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henley, US 6,083,324.

Henley fails to expressly teach the thickness of first and second monocrystalline semiconductor layers and the gettering zone.

However, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to judiciously adjust and control these parameters during the formation of the SOI substrate through routine experimentation and optimization to achieve optimum benefits (see MPEP 2144.05) and it would not yield any unexpected results.

Note that the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed processes or any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is said to be based upon particular chosen methods or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen methods or variables are critical

Art Unit: 2829

(Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir., 1990)). See also In re Aller, Lacey and Hall (10 USPQ 233 – 237).

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. This application contains claims 49 - 56 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 5. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asok K. Sarkar whose telephone number is 703 308 2521. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8 AM- 5 PM).

Art Unit: 2829

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kammie Cuneo can be reached on 703 308 1233. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 308 7722 for regular communications and 703 308 7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 4918.

Asok K. Sarkar October 8, 2002

KAMAND CUNEO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**